[30th January 1929

Appendix IV.

[Vide answer to question No. 1155 asked by Mr. A. Kaleswara Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on 30th January 1929, page 321 supra.]

* 584 Q.—Mr. A. Kaleswara Rao: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of acres on which inclusion fee has not been paid up to end of fasli 1337 though they were included in the ayacut of Kistna Eastern Delta;

(*b*) the number of acres out of them which have been cultivated wet by ryots on which penal assessments have been levied by Government in faslis 1337, 1336 and 1335, respectively; and the total amount of penal assessments levied on them in each one of those three faslis; and

(*c*) the number of acres out of them which are kept waste by ryots in each one of these three faslis for fear of penal assessment being levied?

A.—(*a*) In respect of 4,259·39 acres selected for inclusion in the ayacut subject to payment of the inclusion fee such fee had not been paid up to the end of fasli 1337.

	Fasli.	Extent.	Amount of penalty.		
		ACS.	RS.	A.	P.
(*b*)	1337	263·36	6,422	15	2
	1336	349·42	6,523	7	2
	1335	434·03	3,585	13	2

(*c*) The areas of lands selected for inclusion, but for which the inclusion fee was not paid and which were left waste in each fasli were—

Fasli.	Extent.
	ACS.
1335	4,526·68
1336	4,204·32
1337	3,930·13

Of these areas it is not possible to say what proportion was left waste for fear of penal assessment. In most cases the main reason why the land was left waste was that it had not been brought to an irrigable level.